

TCEC HRSG Penetration Seals
FMPA RFP# 2026-206a
Questions & Answers – Revision 3 - 6/22/26

1Q: I am reviewing the documents and cannot find the "Proposer Information Form".

1A: The Proposer Information Form is page BF-1 in Appendix C - Bid Forms.

2Q: In order to supply a turnkey solution, we would have to supply a bid through a mechanical contractor, we would have to get the mechanical contractor on the bid RFQ so that they can upload all needed documents. Can I request to add another mechanical contractor to the bidders list to be able to supply a competing bid?

2A: The opportunity is open. You can forward the information, they can find the information on VendorLink, our website, or you can send me their email address.

3Q: Considering that the original RFP/base bid was for metal, we are interested to understand the plant's decision to re-issue the RFP for fabric only? Will FMPA accept an alternate that proposes to install metal bellows per the original RFP?

3A: Only one proposal was submitted for the previous RFP. FMPA reached out to other utilities and found that industry is moving to fabric type seals as cost-effective alternatives with similar life expectancy to the metal seals. Bidders may submit alternatives. However, FMPA provides no guarantee that alternatives may be considered.

4Q: Under section 3.1.1, the RFP calls out that all materials shall be suitable for "Chemical Resistant". Considering the application, this is a non-standard request so the plant will need to advise which specific chemical(s), if any, they need all materials to be chemically resistant to and/or compatible with.

4A: This is likely language included in typical expansion joint specifications and held over from an unrelated bid spec. Bidders shall offer materials suitable for the anticipated HRSG application for this bid.

5Q: For section 3.4.f, there is a mention of "Anticipated schedule and staffing plan including potential for nightshift work". This should be clarified further as the original bid was for day shift only; is the plant now offering the ability to work nightshifts for the re-issued RFP?

5A: FMPA requests that bidders include anticipated schedule including day/night shift (if needed), crew sizing, and 10- or 12-hour shifts as each bidder deems necessary to complete the work within the outage dates provided within RFP.

6Q: For the re-issued bid, is the plant allowing for 10- or 12-hour shifts?

6A: See previous response.

7Q: For the Warranty section, we noticed that the plant states "The Supplier shall provide five (5) year warranty on all parts and workmanship. Related pricing shall be broken out separately within Supplier proposal."

- a. The extended warranty request on fabric is a bit odd as we would've expected that request to have been part of the original RFP for the Metal EJs. For clarification from our side, we are prepared to offer a 6-year warranty for metal EJs at no additional costs to the customer.

- b. Industry Standard/Typical warranties on Fabric EJs are commonly no more than two (2) years, with an extended warranty being offered at additional costs. By the plants statement of “Related pricing shall be broken out separately within Supplier proposal.”. It reads as they are expecting bidders to add a separate line item to cover their request for five (5) year warranty. Just want to confirm this is indeed their expectation. Bidders may provide details of any standard warranty included for fabric seals and what “extended” warranty provides along with any additional costs associated.

7A: Bidders may provide details of any standard warranty included for fabric seals and what “extended” warranty provides along with any additional costs associated.

8Q: FMPA has stated “FMPA reached out to other utilities and found that industry is moving to fabric type seals as cost-effective alternatives with similar life expectancy to the metal seals.”. To that end, we would like FMPA to clarify if other utilities they spoke with, spoke specifically about using fabric in Modules 1 thru 3 on the bottom?

8A: The bottom seals of modules 1 thru 3 were not specifically discussed. Discussions were centered around general use of fabric seals and bottom penetrations were sealed using fabric type seals. FMPA project engineer can confirm one user site utilizes fabric seals on the bottom “front” modules.

9Q: The fabric vs metal consideration is nuanced. Mod number, top/bottom/side seal placement, and any present or past HRSG/internal Tube leaks shouldn’t be glossed over without careful consideration. As such, we would like FMPA to confirm the following:

- a. Has the site experienced any HRSG/Internal tube leaks and if so, in which module(s) and when were the leaks remedied? **Don’t have any details to provide.**
- b. When was the last time a HRSG/internal tube leak inspection performed and what did that inspection conclude? **Don’t have any details to provide.**

10Q: It was noted during the pre-bid onsite meeting; many seals are covered up with insulation. Please confirm the following:

- a. Approximately how long have the current penetration seals been covered up with insulation?
Will check which existing seals are covered by insulation and provide a response to bidders.
- b. For all the current penetration seals that are covered with insulation, have those seals been 100% confirmed to be leaking or was the insulation used as a precaution given the age of the current penetration seals?
Will check which existing seals are covered by insulation and provide a response to bidders.
- c. When was the last proper penetration seal inspection performed?
IR inspection performed June 2025.

11Q: Which date will the site hold its site-specific training and how long does this training typically run?

11A: Timing of site-specific training by FMPA is flexible. Pending final schedule submittal by awarded bidder, we can coordinate for the morning of November 9th or earlier to coincide with contractor mobilization.